

UNITED STATE, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

ddress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/477,983

06/07/95

RUBIN

J.

40399/321/NI

HM22/0620

STEPHEN A BENT FOLEY & LARDNER SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20007-5109 SAGUD, C

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1646

EXAMINER

DATE MAILED:

06/20/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Application No. 08/477,983

Applicant(s)

RUBIN et al.

Examiner

Christine Saoud

Group Art Unit 1646



THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]						
	a) 🔲	expires	months from the mailing date of the fir	nal rejection.		
b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Act is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the dat rejection.				e later than six months from the date of the final		
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.					
X	Appell period	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on <u>May 24, 2000</u> (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).				
Ap but	Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>May 24, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:					
X	The proposed amendment(s):					
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.					
	X will not be entered because:					
	IXI they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).					
	★ they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).					
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifyin issues for appeal.					
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
	NOTE: There is no basis in the specification for the limitation "a member of the Fibroblast Growth Factor Famwas not known in the prior art prior to 1989". This limitation would raise a new issue, require further search and consideration, raise the issue of new matter.					
	Search and Consideration, raise the issue of new motter.					
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):					
		y proposed or a	amended claims d amendment cancelling the non-allow	vable claims.	would be allowable if submitted in a	
	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
		The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):					ed written explanation, if any):	
	·	Claims allowed: none				
Claims objected to: none						
	Claim	Claims rejected: <u>44-79 and 81-91</u>				
	The p	proposed drawin	ng correction filed on		has not been approved by the Examiner.	
	Note	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).				
	Other					
					PATENT SYAMPING	
					PATENT EXAMINER Christine Saoud	
					(Much	

Advisory Action